

Super Computing and Distributed Systems Camp Catay, Santander, Colombia August 15-22, 2010

# Introduction to OpenMP Programming

### *Robinson Rivas Suarez* robinson.rivas@ciens.ucv.ve

Universidad Central de Venezuela



# Agenda

- What OpenMP stands for?
- Differences between OpenMP and MPI
- Parallel Regions
- Tags for parallel work
- Data sharing
- Explicit synchronization
- Scheduling Instructions



# **OpenMP** at a glance

- OpenMP IS:
- Compiler directives and a library for multithread programming
- Available for Fortran and C/C++, several companies involved
- Support for parallel data model
- Incremental parallelism
- Combines serial and parallel code in the same source
- Simple: it allows to run our serial code without modificactions (almost)

#### ر camp

# **OpenMP** at a glance

### • OpenMP IS NOT:

- A library for message-passing programming
- Available for any major language
- Suitable for high-scale parallelism, i.e. programming over the grid
- 100% portable: programs must be recompiled over new architectures. OpenMP exploits architecture-dependant advantages

# **OpenMP vs MPI**

- MPI uses the message passing paradigm, i.e., <u>distributed</u> memory.
   OpenMP uses fork-join model on <u>shared</u> memory
- MPI can exploit massive parallelism over hundreds or thousands of nodes. OpenMP uses physical access on relatively small number of cores
- Due to its nature, none of MPI nor OpenMP are deterministic.
- OpenMP have scheduling instructions. MPI doesn't have it.

#### BUT: in real world, OpenMP and MPI does not compete!! They interact with each another to take advantages of specific architectures.

# **OpenMP vs MPI**

### A more detailed comparison

#### MPI

De-facto standard Endorsed by all key players Runs on any number of (cheap) systems "Grid Ready" High and steep learning curve You're on your own All or nothing model No data scoping (shared, private, ..) More widely used (but ....) Sequential version is not preserved Requires a library only Requires a run-time environment Easier to understand performance

#### OpenMP

De-facto standard Endorsed by all key players Limited to one (SMP) system Not (yet?) "Grid Ready" Easier to get started (but, ...) Assistance from compiler Mix and match model Requires data scoping Increasingly popular (CMT !) Preserves sequential code Need a compiler No special environment Performance issues implicit





### **OpenMP** at a glance





# **OpenMP** architecture

- fork-join model
- Construction blocks for parallel execution
- Construction blocks for data scope management
- Construction blocks for synchronization
- API (Application Program Interface) for programming tuning



# **OpenMP** architecture

#### fork-join model:

- Master thread divides itself in sub-threads as it is needed
- Incremental parallelism: sequential code becomes parallel depending on problem's conditions



#### **Parallel Regions**

# **OpenMP** syntax

 Most of OpenMP blocks are really compiler directives. In C/C++ they are called *pragmas*. Syntax is:

#pragma omp construct [clause [clause]...]

# **Parallel regions**

- Pragma parallel defines a parallel region on an structured code
- Threads created using this prgama, synchronizes at the end of the block
- By default, data is shared inside this region

#pragma omp parallel
{
 code
}





# How many threads?

• The environment variable defines how many threads we will create.

#### set OMP\_NUM\_THREADS=4

 There is not default for this. In most systems, # of threads = # of cores. However, you can define more threads than physical cores. Intel<sup>®</sup> compilers uses this standard

### Use the pragma to parallelize this code

```
int main()
{
    hello();
}
int hello()
{
    int i;
    for(i=0;i<10;i++)</pre>
     {
         printf("Hello World!!\n");
         sleep(1)
     }
}
```

cam

### Solution

```
int main()
{
#pragma omp parallel
    hello();
}
int hello()
{
    int i;
    for(i=0;i<10;i++)</pre>
     {
         printf("Hello world!!\n");
         sleep(1)
    }
}
```

cam

### **Parallel for**

```
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp for
for (i=0; i<N; i++) {
  Do_Work(i);
  }</pre>
```

- Divide iterations among processors
- Must be inside the parallel region
- Must precede the **for** clause

# **Parallel for**

```
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp for
for(i = 0; i < 12; i++)
c[i] = a[i] + b[i]</pre>
```

- Each thread asigned with a number of iterations.
- Iterations are asigned with round-robin policy
- Programmer must deal with possible side effects
- There is an implicit barrier at the end of threads



### **Parallel for**

This two codes are equivalent

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
    #pragma omp for
    for (i=0; i< MAX; i++)
        { res[i] = huge();
        }
}</pre>
```

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (i=0; i< MAX; i++)
{ res[i] = huge();
}
```

### **Data sharing**

- OpenMP uses shared memory as default model
- Most of variables are shared by default
- Global variables are always shared
- User can modify the behavior of variables, except for global ones

### **Data sharing**

- Some exceptions apply to data sharing:
  - Local variables of functions called from parallel regions are private
  - Variables defined inside parallel blocks are private
  - Index variables of for statements are by default private

### C/C+: the first variable of the for after the #pragma omp for is private

### **Data sharing**

- Default status can be modified
   default (shared | none)
- Data scope attributes

shared(varname,...)
private(varname,...)

### Data scope

- In case of private variables, compiler assigns one variable per thread
- Thread variables are language and compiler dependant, thus, inicialization, dafault values and space depends on compiler spec

. Given a=[1,2,3,4,5,6], b=[2,4,6,8,10,12] and N=6



- . What values do this code generates if Processors are 2, 3?
- What happens if x,y are NOT private?

camp



 Inner product: do this code work? float dot prod(float\* a, float\* b, int N) { float sum = 0.0;#pragma omp parallel for shared(sum) for(int i=0; i<N; i++) {</pre> sum += a[i] \* b[i]; return sum;

# **Critical regions**

- In many cases, public access to variables is dangerous, because different threads can modify erroneously the values. In this case, it is necessary to define a *critical region* for those values that need to be *protected*
- OpenMP provides a pragma to define critical regions

#### #pragma omp critical [(lock\_name)]

Inner product revisited

```
float dot prod(float* a, float* b, int N)
{
  float sum = 0.0;
#pragma omp parallel for shared(sum)
   for(int i=0; i<N; i++) {</pre>
#pragma omp critical
     sum += a[i] * b[i];
  return sum;
```

# **Critical regions**

- In a critical region, threads waits its turn to execute the line(s) of code defined in the inner block.
- Programmer can assign a name to each critical region. This could lead to better performance when code is executed

```
float R1, R2;
#pragma omp parallel
{ float A, B;
#pragma omp for
    for(int i=0; i<niters; i++) {</pre>
    B = big job(i);
#pragma omp critical(R1 lock)
      consum (B, &R1);
    A = bigger job(i);
#pragma omp critical (R2 lock)
      consum (A, &R2);
```

# **Critical regions**

- Critical regions must be used carefully. In the worst case, a bad usage of critical pragma could lead to a serial execution
- Not every code works well with critical. Imagine

```
for(int i=0; i<N; i++) {
    sum = a[i];
#pragma omp critical
    sum += a[i] * b[i];
}</pre>
```

- Usually, critical pragma is not the best solution due to bottlenecks. *Reduction* is a better alternative.
- Reduction allows programmers to put in a single variable the join result of a series of calculations.
- This permits threads to use private variables, and *reduce* them to a shared variable at the end of the execution

- Reduction pragma:
   reduction (op : list)
- Variables in "list" must be in shared mode inside the parallel region
- When reduction code begins, each thread makes a copy of the variables, and initializes them depending on the operator op
- Once threads finalize its execution, they puts the final value using op operator in a single shared copy of the variable.

- In this example, each thread has their own copy of sum
- All the copies of sum are added together at the end of the computation, in a single "global" variable in the master thread

```
#pragma omp parallel for reduction(+:sum)
    for(i=0; i<N; i++)
        { sum += a[i] * b[i];
        }</pre>
```

- OpenMP defines a series of both commutative and associative operators
- Initial values for variables are
   assigned depending on poutral

Ор	Initial value	bra	Ор	Initial value
+	0	CIU	&	~0
*	1			0
-	0		&&	1
^	0			0



came





## **Numeric Integration**

```
static long num_steps=100000; double step, pi;
```

```
void main()
   int i;
{
   double x, sum = 0.0;
   step = 1.0/(double) num steps;
   for (i=0; i< num steps; i++) {</pre>
      x = (i+0.5) * step;
      sum = sum + 4.0/(1.0 + x*x);
   }
   pi = step * sum;
   printf("Pi = %f\n",pi);
}
```

- Parallelize this code thinking on:
  - Variables to share
  - Variables to reduce

```
static long num steps=100000;
double step, pi;
void main()
   int i;
{
   double x, sum = 0.0;
   step = 1.0/(double) num steps;
   for (i=0; i< num steps; i++) {</pre>
      x = (i+0.5) * step;
      sum = sum + 4.0/(1.0 + x*x);
   pi = step * sum;
   printf("Pi = %f\n",pi);
```

- Some times, the amount of time used for iterations is not uniform for all cases. For instance, random values or I/O problems can affect the time used by each processor
- So, it is good idea to have a way to decide how to assign the values of iteration indexes to different processes
- Example: think in a gas simulation. None of the particles spend the same time to calculate its energy

 schedule clause defines how to assign the values to processors

#### schedule(static [,chunk])

Each thread is assigned with the same "chunk" size values, using round-robin policy

#### schedule(dynamic[,chunk])

Each thread takes "chunk" values to iterate. After processing those values, the thread takes more "chunk" values

#### schedule(guided[,chunk])

Dynamic planning, beginning with the bigger "chunk".

When to use scheduling?

Schedule	Use it when
STATIC	Each iteration is suposed to spent the same time
DYNAMIC	Unpredictable, threads have non deterministic behavior
GUIDED	Same as dynamic, but more efficient scheduler

• Example of schedule clause

```
#pragma omp parallel for schedule (static, 8)
for( int i = start; i <= end; i += 2 )
{
    if ( TestForPrime(i) ) gPrimesFound++;
}</pre>
```

 In this case, every thread has 8 values to search for. Note that programmer must know the size of both chunk an iterations. Portions are distributed statically

• Example of schedule clause

```
#pragma omp parallel for schedule (dynamic, 5)
for( int i = start; i <= end; i += 2 )
{
    if ( TestForPrime(i) ) gPrimesFound++;
}</pre>
```

 In this case, every thread have chunks of size 5 for the first time. Then, new executions takes portions of 5 values once they finish partial executions

• Example of schedule clause

```
#pragma omp parallel for schedule (guided, 8)
for( int i = start; i <= end; i += 2 )
{
    if ( TestForPrime(i) ) gPrimesFound++;
}</pre>
```

 In this case, compiler decides the size of chunks and this size decreases exponentially. It is often more efficient than dynamic behavior

cam



# **Parallel sections**

 Not only iterations can be parallelized. Also, independent sections of code can be defined as parallel with sections

```
#pregnals in parallel sections
{
    #pragma omp section
    phase1();
    #pragma omp section
    phase2();
    #pragma omp section
    phase3();
}
```



# "single" clause

- Defines a section inside a parallel code that must be executed by only one thread
- It is not defined which thread will execute the section
- At the end there is an implicit barrier

```
{
   DoManyThings();
#pragma omp single
   {
    ExchangeBoundaries();
   } // threads wait here for single
   DoManyMoreThings();
}
```

### "master" clause

 Indicates a section that must be executed specifically by the master thread

```
• There is not an implicit barrier at the
#pragma omp parallel
{
end
DoManyThings();
#pragma omp master
{
// if not master, then skip to next stmt
ExchangeBoundaries();
}
DoManyMoreThings();
}
```

# barriers

 Programmer can define explicit barriers, so threads must wait until all threads finish their execution

```
#pragma omp parallel shared (A, B, C)
{
    DoSomeWork(A,B);
    printf("Processed A into B\n");
#pragma omp barrier
    DoSomeWork(B,C);
    printf("Processed B into C\n");
}
```

### barriers

- Some OpenMP clauses uses implicit barriers
  - parallel
  - for
  - single
- However, this barriers could lead to performance problems
- If it is safe enough, you can use the nowait clause

### barriers

What do this example do?

```
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp for schedule(dynamic,1) nowait
 for(int i=0; i<n; i++)</pre>
   a[i] = bigFunc1(i);
#pragma omp for schedule(dynamic,1)
 for(int j=0; j<m; j++)</pre>
   b[j] = bigFunc2(j);
```

# API

- It is often useful to know <u>who I am</u> and <u>how many we</u> <u>are</u> in terms of threads
- MPI users use this information to decide what parts of code must be executed by each thread. In OpenMP, API have instructions to give this information
- In this cases, there must be a header include

#include <omp.h>

# API

- To obtain the id of the thread inside a parallel section (equivalent to MPI\_comm\_rank)
- int omp\_get\_thread\_num(void);
- To obtain the total number of threads in an execution (equivalent to MPI\_comm\_size)
- int omp\_get\_num\_threads(void);

# Challenge

- Write a program that uses OpenMP to find:
  - The average value of a series of real numbers
  - The maximum and minimum of a series of real numbers
  - A solution for matrix product in C